

Rutgers University
Fall 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

Course: 09: 910: 311: 02SocialWelfare,PolicyandServices-I: 2017FA - Social Welfare, Policy and Services-I 09: 910: 311: 02

Instructor: F Greenagel *

1 - The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	4	21.05%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	73.68%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
19/27 (70.37%)	4.58	0.96	5.00	349	4.46	0.94	5.00				

2 - The instructor generated interest in the course material.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	0	0%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	94.74%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
19/27 (70.37%)	4.79	0.92	5.00	348	4.43	0.95	5.00				

3 - The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.56%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	2	11.11%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	83.33%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
18/27 (66.67%)	4.67	0.97	5.00	345	4.34	1.09	5.00				

Rutgers University
Fall 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

Course: 09: 910: 311: 02SocialWelfare,PolicyandServices-I: 2017FA - Social Welfare, Policy and Services-I 09: 910: 311: 02

Instructor: F Greenagel *

4 - The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.										
F Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%					4.74	4.53	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0%							
Agree	(4)	1	5.26%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	89.47%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor	Department	
19/27 (70.37%)	4.74	0.93	5.00	348	4.53	0.93	5.00			

5 - The instructor assigned grades fairly.										
F Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%					4.47	4.44	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0%							
Agree	(4)	6	31.58%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	63.16%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor	Department	
19/27 (70.37%)	4.47	0.96	5.00	348	4.44	0.98	5.00			

6 - The instructional methods encouraged student learning.										
F Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%					4.68	4.28	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0%							
Agree	(4)	2	10.53%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	84.21%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor	Department	
19/27 (70.37%)	4.68	0.95	5.00	349	4.28	1.08	5.00			

7 - I learned a great deal in this course.										
F Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%					4.68	4.31	
Disagree	(2)	0	0%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0%							
Agree	(4)	2	10.53%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	84.21%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Instructor	Department	
19/27 (70.37%)	4.68	0.95	5.00	310	4.31	1.04	5.00			

Rutgers University
Fall 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

Course: 09: 910: 311: 02SocialWelfare,PolicyandServices-I: 2017FA - Social Welfare, Policy and Services-I 09: 910: 311: 02

Instructor: F Greenagel *

8 - I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	5.26%							
Disagree	(2)	3	15.79%							
Neutral	(3)	8	42.11%							
Agree	(4)	1	5.26%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	31.58%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
19/27 (70.37%)	3.42	1.26	3.00	310	4.04	1.03	4.00			

9 - I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:										
F Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means			
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0%							
2	(2)	0	0%							
3	(3)	0	0%							
4	(4)	3	15.79%							
5 = Excellent	(5)	16	84.21%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
19/27 (70.37%)	4.84	0.37	5.00	346	4.45	0.88	5.00			

10 - I rate the overall quality of the course as:										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means			
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0%							
2	(2)	0	0%							
3	(3)	0	0%							
4	(4)	3	15.79%							
5 = Excellent	(5)	16	84.21%							
N/A	(0)	0	0%							
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			
19/27 (70.37%)	4.84	0.37	5.00	308	4.36	0.94	5.00			

11 - What do you like best about this course?									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The overall teaching structure. • The professor. He treated us as adults and not only taught us class materials but how to be great social workers post grad. • I learned so much about policy so it was necessary as first semester Social Work Majors. • I really enjoyed how this class made learning about history so interesting and enjoyable. • The professor is extremely knowledgeable and inspiring. • The professor is absolutely amazing • I liked that Professor Greenagel had so much experience with social policy. • Professor • Amazing professor! I was scared in the beginning of the semester because i had no prior knowledge of the topics we were going to discuss. Professor Greenagel did an amazing job generating interest and putting ideas forward in an easy way to understand. Exams were fair. I learned so much in this course!! • The intensity that our professor taught with • I liked that the professor was so passionate and enthusiastic about the class he was teaching, so there was never a dull or boring moment in class. It certainly helped generate an interest in the material, and helped me better learn the material. I didn't exactly have an interest in policy before taking the class, but after taking this professor, he broke down the difficulty of the material and made it easier for the class to understand. 									

Rutgers University

Fall 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

Course: 09: 910: 311: 02SocialWelfare,PolicyandServices-I: 2017FA - Social Welfare, Policy and Services-I 09: 910: 311: 02

Instructor: F Greenagel *

12 - If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

- lesss readings
- The reading/course load
- The history was extremely broad and hard to keep up with the textbook so I would focus on specific content that is helpful.
- NA.
- Post more frequent reminders about what assignments and readings are due each week.
- Make the assignments and homework due more clear.
- I'm not sure.
- More test prep
- Nothing.
- Nothing

13 - In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

- in ll aspects of public policy
- Frank Greenagel's teaching style made this class extremely interesting he pushed me to look beyond clinical social work and make real change through policy.
- Professor Greenagel was always encouraging us to learn more and more both inside the classroom and outside. He has given such great advice on where and how to take our future careers to the next level. This class is easily the most enjoyable course I have had this whole semester, and possibly through all of my years here at Rutgers so far.
- I learned more about politics and policy than I thought would ever be possible. As someone who has always hated both, I am amazed at how Professor Greenagel was able to harness our interest in these topics.
- Quite possible one of the best professors at Rutgers. He really cares for his students and wants them to learn the material and internalize it unlike most professors.
- It made me more interested and politically involved.
- very passionate about the field, made me more passionate
- I have learned so much about social policy, history, and politics. I never would have thought that i'd be the one bringing up these topics to people but now i feel so well informed that i am able to have conversations with so many people regarding public policy. This professor was extremely informative about social issues and really helped me grasp topics i've never fully understood.
- I had to read more than I wanted but in the end I retained more knowledge that I will never forget
- This instructor encouraged the need for us to do our research and make sure we understand the history and facts of a topic that we are discussing. If we don't know both sides of the story, then we need to learn it and have open discussions with people on both sides of the issue. Without these discussions, no change will happen.

14 - Other comments or suggestions:

- N/A
- AMAZING COURSE. Thank you! Wish the professor was teaching more classes.
- Nope.
- I think all SWPS 1 classes should follow Prof. Greenagel's structure. I know other people who have taken SWPS and did not get nearly as much out of it as i think Prof. Greenagel's students have.
- Thank You
- Great professor and I immensely enjoyed the class!