

**Rutgers University**  
**Spring 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys**

**Course:** 19: 910: 514: 11: Hbse: Addictn Lifespn

**Instructor:** F Greenagel \*

1 - The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	0	0%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	100%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
12/21 (57.14%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	2,464	4.49	0.85	5.00				

2 - The instructor generated interest in the course material.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	0	0%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	12	100%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
12/21 (57.14%)	5.00	0.00	5.00	2,463	4.44	0.86	5.00				

3 - The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	1	9.09%								
Agree	(4)	2	18.18%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	72.73%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Department	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median				
11/21 (52.38%)	4.64	0.67	5.00	2,456	4.43	0.92	5.00				

**Rutgers University**  
**Spring 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys**

**Course:** 19: 910: 514: 11: Hbse: Addictn Lifespn

**Instructor:** F Greenagel \*

4 - The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.83	4.54		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	1	8.33%								
Agree	(4)	0	0%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	91.67%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
12/21 (57.14%)	4.83	0.58	5.00	2,455	4.54	0.81	5.00				

5 - The instructor assigned grades fairly.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.75	4.45		
Disagree	(2)	1	8.33%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	0	0%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	91.67%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
12/21 (57.14%)	4.75	0.87	5.00	2,462	4.45	0.85	5.00				

6 - The instructional methods encouraged student learning.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.92	4.33		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	91.67%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,454	4.33	0.93	5.00				

7 - I learned a great deal in this course.											
F Greenagel											
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.92	4.34		
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Agree	(4)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	91.67%								
N/A	(0)	0	0%								
<b>Return Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>				
12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,386	4.34	0.91	5.00				

# Rutgers University

## Spring 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

**Course:** 19: 910: 514: 11: Hbse: Addictn Lifespn

**Instructor:** F Greenagel \*

8 - I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.																													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means																					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0%					4.67	3.94																				
Disagree	(2)	0	0%																										
Neutral	(3)	1	8.33%																										
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%																										
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	75%																										
N/A	(0)	0	0%																										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Return Rate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th>Department</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>12/21 (57.14%)</td> <td>4.67</td> <td>0.65</td> <td>5.00</td> <td>2,384</td> <td>3.94</td> <td>1.12</td> <td>4.00</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>										Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			12/21 (57.14%)	4.67	0.65	5.00	2,384	3.94	1.12	4.00		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median																						
12/21 (57.14%)	4.67	0.65	5.00	2,384	3.94	1.12	4.00																						

9 - I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:																													
F Greenagel																													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means																					
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0%					4.92	4.43																				
2	(2)	0	0%																										
3	(3)	0	0%																										
4	(4)	1	8.33%																										
5 = Excellent	(5)	11	91.67%																										
N/A	(0)	0	0%																										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Return Rate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th>Department</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>12/21 (57.14%)</td> <td>4.92</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>5.00</td> <td>2,457</td> <td>4.43</td> <td>0.84</td> <td>5.00</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>										Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,457	4.43	0.84	5.00		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median																						
12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,457	4.43	0.84	5.00																						

10 - I rate the overall quality of the course as:																													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means																					
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0%					4.92	4.29																				
2	(2)	0	0%																										
3	(3)	0	0%																										
4	(4)	1	8.33%																										
5 = Excellent	(5)	11	91.67%																										
N/A	(0)	0	0%																										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Return Rate</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th>Department</th> <th>Mean</th> <th>STD</th> <th>Median</th> <th colspan="2"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>12/21 (57.14%)</td> <td>4.92</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>5.00</td> <td>2,381</td> <td>4.29</td> <td>0.88</td> <td>5.00</td> <td colspan="2"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>										Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median			12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,381	4.29	0.88	5.00		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median																						
12/21 (57.14%)	4.92	0.29	5.00	2,381	4.29	0.88	5.00																						

11 - What do you like best about this course?									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Professor's teaching style</li> <li>• He was a cool guy and seemed genuinely interested in the course. Instead of most who seem not to give a shit.</li> <li>• I appreciated the personal experience stories that Professor Greenagel shared with the class. These real life examples provided by the professor allowed me to better absorb the course material and assimilate it into practice. I also enjoyed the current up to date articles that the professor provided by email and the personal tailoring of material for lectures. I would seek out this professor to take additional classes that he teaches in the future.</li> <li>• The interesting articles, papers and videos we were asked to read/ watch that bring out thought provoking questions and idea.</li> <li>• I like how this is a hybrid course and we are able to utilize the classroom time as well as working from home. I also really liked how the professor is very straight forward, he tells it just like it is and it has made the class very enjoyable. I also really liked how the professor presented the course material, since he has first hand experience with all of these situations it made the material much easier to relate to. Overall, this professor really brightened the room here as the course material came to life and he also provided his students with such a deep understanding of how addiction affects ones life.</li> <li>• Professor Greenagel is amazing. I really enjoyed being in his class and learning so much from him about the addiction field. He is a wonderful professor. He really knows his material. His different attitude and teaching methods helped me so much because i am a student that learns differently and his teaching method kept me engaged. His way of making us take an exam was wonderful and was less stressful then having a paper and pen exam. I really enjoy this class and the professor actually gave me confidence in knowing that i was doing everything right in my actual internship while in the facility i was in told me i was wrong. I really learned so much from Six dimensions , Opioid Epidemic, difference in the DSM-IV and DSM-V criteria, Co-occurring disorder, the impact that drugs have in the brain and what is impacted.</li> <li>• The instructor provided supplemental readings that really brought this course to life. It covered a really wide variety of topics and I felt stronger at field placement as a result.</li> <li>• Professor Greenagel is amazing. Give him a promotion.</li> </ul>									

# Rutgers University

## Spring 2017 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

**Course:** 19: 910: 514: 11: Hbse: Addictn Lifespn

**Instructor:** F Greenagel \*

### 12 - If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

- Nothing
- Nothing
- None.
- nothing
- NOTHING LET FRANK GREENAGEL TEACH ALL ACT COURSE AND ENCOURGED him to be an off-site supervisor
- nothing. Wish it met every week.
- N/A

### 13 - In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

- The way he teaches encourages us to learn by listening and asking questions rather than being so concerned about external and structural factors.
- He introduced me into the world of addiction. He made it an easy transition with the surplus of knowledge he made available to us.
- Professor Greenagel exposed students to the material and encouraged debate/discussion within the class and through the ecollege site. The professor's passion for the subject matter was apparent and it elicited great discussions.
- The topics are and reading makes think and look and issues from a different perspective, which encourage inquiries and learning more about them.
- This class has encourage my intellectual growth in so many aspects. I have learned that addictions is a very touchy, sensitive topic and it is very important to be aware of the characteristics and traits to pick up on in someone struggling with addiction. This professor has truly inspired me to be a more non judgmental, assertive, and compassionate social worker. This professor really knows his stuff.
- He encourage us to learn and to understand the material. IF we did not get it he pushed us to know more and made sure we understood it. He was a very generous grader but was willing to make suggestions and meet with students to understand them. He also is ten times better then the field office people. He was able to make suggestions of placements and for future career paths He was an amazing teach and i am so glad that i was able to take his class.
- The instructor encouraged critical thinking and has a wealth of experience.
- This course allowed me to better assist my clients at my internship!

### 14 - Other comments or suggestions:

- N/A
- FRANK THE TANK..... I have never called him that in any setting but I think it would be a nice title.
- Great class and professor, i would recommend taking him again.
- PAY frank more money and hire him as an offsite supervisor because he would be great and people would really learn from our field placement. Please continue to have him teach other act classes.
- Consider having more in class lectures
- Wish it met every week.