

**Rutgers University**  
**Spring 2018 Student Instructional Rating Surveys**

**Course:** 09: 910: 475: 02INTEGRATIONSEMINAR: 2018SP - INTEGRATION SEMINAR 09: 910: 475: 02

**Instructor:** Frank L. II Greenagel \*

**Response Rate:** 16/29 (55.17 %)

1 - The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.										
Frank L. II Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.69	4.44				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Agree	(4)	1	6.25%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	87.50%							
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/29 (55.17%)		4.69	1.01	5.00	342			4.44	0.93	5.00

2 - The instructor generated interest in the course material.										
Frank L. II Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.75	4.43				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	93.75%							
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/29 (55.17%)		4.75	1.00	5.00	338			4.43	0.94	5.00

3 - The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.										
Frank L. II Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.63	4.44				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Neutral	(3)	1	6.25%							
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	87.50%							
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/29 (55.17%)		4.63	1.09	5.00	341			4.44	0.98	5.00

4 - The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.										
Frank L. II Greenagel										
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.69	4.59				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Agree	(4)	1	6.25%							
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	87.50%							
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department
<b>Response Rate</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
16/29 (55.17%)		4.69	1.01	5.00	339			4.59	0.81	5.00

**Rutgers University**  
**Spring 2018 Student Instructional Rating Surveys**

**Course:** 09: 910: 475: 02INTEGRATIONSEMINAR: 2018SP - INTEGRATION SEMINAR 09: 910: 475: 02

**Instructor:** Frank L. II Greenagel \*

**Response Rate:** 16/29 (55.17 %)

5 - The instructor assigned grades fairly.									
Frank L. II Greenagel									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.67%		4.53	4.49	Instructor	Department	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%						
Agree	(4)	3	20.00%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	11	73.33%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%						
					0	25	50	100	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
15/29 (51.72%)	4.53	1.06	5.00	340	4.49	0.87	5.00		

6 - The instructional methods encouraged student learning.									
Frank L. II Greenagel									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.75	4.33	Instructor	Department	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%						
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	93.75%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%						
					0	25	50	100	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
16/29 (55.17%)	4.75	1.00	5.00	342	4.33	1.01	5.00		

7 - I learned a great deal in this course.									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		4.75	4.23	Instructor	Department	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%						
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	93.75%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%						
					0	25	50	100	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
16/29 (55.17%)	4.75	1.00	5.00	340	4.23	1.10	5.00		

8 - I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.25%		3.88	3.85	Instructor	Department	
Disagree	(2)	1	6.25%						
Neutral	(3)	4	25.00%						
Agree	(4)	3	18.75%						
Strongly Agree	(5)	7	43.75%						
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%						
					0	25	50	100	
<b>Response Rate</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Department</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
16/29 (55.17%)	3.88	1.26	4.00	341	3.85	1.16	4.00		

**Rutgers University**  
**Spring 2018 Student Instructional Rating Surveys**

**Course:** 09: 910: 475: 02INTEGRATIONSEMINAR: 2018SP - INTEGRATION SEMINAR 09: 910: 475: 02

**Instructor:** Frank L. II Greenagel \*

**Response Rate:** 16/29 (55.17 %)

9 - I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:													
Frank L. II Greenagel													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means								
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0.00%										
2	(2)	0	0.00%										
3	(3)	0	0.00%										
4	(4)	1	6.25%										
5 = Excellent	(5)	15	93.75%										
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%										
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median				
16/29 (55.17%)	4.94	0.25	5.00	341			4.45	0.87	5.00				

10 - I rate the overall quality of the course as:													
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means								
1 = Poor	(1)	0	0.00%										
2	(2)	0	0.00%										
3	(3)	0	0.00%										
4	(4)	3	18.75%										
5 = Excellent	(5)	13	81.25%										
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%										
					0	25	50	100	Instructor	Department			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department			Mean	STD	Median				
16/29 (55.17%)	4.81	0.40	5.00	337			4.26	0.98	5.00				

# Rutgers University

## Spring 2018 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

**Course:** 09: 910: 475: 02INTEGRATIONSEMINAR: 2018SP - INTEGRATION SEMINAR 09: 910: 475: 02  
**Instructor:** Frank L. II Greenagel \*  
**Response Rate:** 16/29 (55.17 %)

### 11 - What do you like best about this course?

<b>Response Rate</b>	13/29 (44.83%)
----------------------	----------------

- I really enjoyed how Professor Frank was able to speak to the class on a level that was more relatable than how most professors speak to classes.
- Top three best professors I have ever had. Since coming to Rutgers, I have been HIGHLY disappointed in the curriculum and professors in the School of Social Work. I wish Professor Greenagel could teach every social work class I had to take. I think the other professors in the School of Social Work should sit in on his classes and take notes on how to be an effective and engaging professor. Thank you professor for teaching me more in one semester than all of the other social work classes in all of the other semesters combined here at Rutgers.
- I liked that the professor gave a lot of feedback to all of the assignments in order for you to focus on how to improve. I also liked how he taught us an abundance of information that we can apply to a future internship or job.
- How seriously the professor takes the course, which in turn makes me take the class more seriously. I've had classes where the professors come in every week and talk at us for 3 hours and then have us write one long 15 page paper at the end of the course. Having small assignments and exams to study for makes me feel more engaged in class in addition to the fact that the professor is kinda fun.
- Thankful that I was able to take the course with Professor Frank Greenagel, because I do not think I would have learned nearly as much, or had the same experience if I were in another class. As much as a pain it was to have to take an open ended exam, I really enjoyed it and I think it was much more helpful than the multiple choice exams that I have taken in the past.
- Professor Greenagel customized this course and honestly have to say it's better than the lead teachers syllabus. I learned more in this class than any before. You have to work in this class, but you will love doing it.
- he was able to condense Social Work material we should have learned years prior to one semester. We missed plenty of material due to inclimate weather be he did not stray away from trying to teach us as much as possible. Use of clear representation of what we are getting ourselves into. WE ACTUALLY GO OVER THE MATERIALS WE ARE ASSIGNED TO READ PER WEEK.
- I loved professor Greenagel. He is the only professor I have had thought my social work undergrad career that has really taught us in a way that was engaging, humorous, caring and much more. It was an 8:30 am class but I was always awake and alert because he kept the class up with his lectures. Professor Greenagel definitely made this course worth while and I learned so much.
- Frank presented the material in a way that was not only relatable, but was easy to understand. He utilized his immense background of experience to help teach lessons.
- The course was very interesting and offered a lot of informative information.
- The best part of this course was the professor. Frank Greenagel was absolutely, 100% the best professor I have ever had. Had I taken this course with another professor, I am not sure I would have been interested in the material as much as I was and I doubt I would have learned as much as I did. He was a fabulous professor who made me want to come to class.
- The professor. He kept it real. He told us what to expect from our field in the future, recommended great reads. Overall he's a great person.
- I mostly liked the topics that were discussed in class. They were very relevant to student life, education, and the field of social work and I will be able to apply the lessons learned to all aspects of my life.

### 12 - If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

<b>Response Rate</b>	9/29 (31.03%)
----------------------	---------------

- I wouldn't change this, but.... why the yard stick? Please explain
- Please tell us in class what graduate level courses you teach!!!
- Maybe show some documentaries...I am not sure
- provide a "quick-list" of skills for sw students to review and discuss.
- Professor Greenagel talked a lot about clinical work. He also talked about macro work but not as much. For the students who were going into the MAP field for their grad social work degree, I feel like he could've directed his lectures more towards the MAP population.
- If I were teaching this course, I would take the letter to the editor out.
- N/A
- Nothing.
- Change the start time because it starts to early.

# Rutgers University

## Spring 2018 Student Instructional Rating Surveys

**Course:** 09: 910: 475: 02INTEGRATIONSEMINAR: 2018SP - INTEGRATION SEMINAR 09: 910: 475: 02

**Instructor:** Frank L. II Greenagel \*

**Response Rate:** 16/29 (55.17 %)

### 13 - In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

<b>Response Rate</b>	10/29 (34.48%)
----------------------	----------------

- This is one of the only social work courses that I've had to read everything in. I appreciate that the readings are also engaging and not just academic articles.
- I learned how social workers can play a key role in positively changing the drug addiction field and mental health treatment field. I also learned that it is important to apply knowledge from this course material to social work practice and the significance of being a good writer and using feedback to improve writing skills.
- The importance of truly looking at different arguments and beliefs that one may not agree with.
- He's passionate and loves what he does and it's inspiring.
- examined the many fields of social work, how to publicly speak, and how to research
- Professor Greenagel has encouraged me to never be afraid to ask because at the end of the day it is our education and field placements that we are paying for. He has taught us a lot about the addiction field and the flaws within the system that social workers in the MAP field can help with.
- Challenged us to think critically in a major that hasn't been doing that
- This professor made it clear from day one that grades were not important to him. He wanted us to learn not only from his experiences, but from his mistakes and from people that he was inspired by. Because of this, we had no busy work, which has been a common theme throughout my college experience. Every assignment that we had to do for this course was for a reason and I learned an immense amount of information that will definitely be valuable in my career. He challenged us and he gave us constructive feedback on all of our assignments.
- He is the ideal social worker.
- The professor expressed his love for teaching and demonstrated it in every class. Having that kind of support from such an established professor was very motivating. Many of the lessons focused on our mental health, burnout, and defining success which can be applied to both personal and intellectual growth.

### 14 - Other comments or suggestions:

<b>Response Rate</b>	7/29 (24.14%)
----------------------	---------------

- One of my favorite classes! It always felt like the 3 hours of class went by very fast. Everyone should have a class like this at some point in their academic career.
- Glad that there is a professor in the field of social work at Rutgers like Frank to help us.
- the professor may have planned for too much content within the time allotted. But he was able to adjust his plans effectively
- Best professor ever! I wish he taught all my courses
- Sometimes Frank's assigned readings and comments can come off at condescending or bragging
- I have never had a professor quite like this one. I hope that Rutgers can observe him and recognize his teaching process and get more professors like this. This course made me feel prepared for my future as a social worker and I am very, very thankful for that. In addition to all of these positive things, the best part is that he gets to know each and every one of his students and he truly cares about our future success. What a difference that makes!
- The best class I've taken at Rutgers.