Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating
Fall 2018

Greenagel, Frank - greenage
09:910:220:93 — Intro To Soc Wrk&ser
*Standard SIRS

Enrollment: 18
Responses Received: 10

University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	**Section**	Course	Level	Dept
The instructor Frank Greenagel was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	1	4	5	10	**4.40**	4.38	4.61	4.48
The instructor Frank Greenagel responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	1	0	2	7	10	**4.50**	4.19	4.56	4.37
The instructor Frank Greenagel generated interest in the course material.	1	0	2	3	4	10	**3.90**	4.16	4.54	4.44
The instructor Frank Greenagel had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	1	2	7	10	**4.60**	4.38	4.65	4.57
The instructor Frank Greenagel assigned grades fairly.	0	0	1	2	7	10	**4.60**	4.28	4.61	4.41

Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	**Section**	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Frank Greenagel as:	0	1	0	4	5	10	**4.30**	4.28	4.64	4.45

University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	1	1	3	1	4	10	**3.60**	3.91	4.39	4.30
I learned a great deal in this course.	2	2	1	2	3	10	**3.20**	3.91	4.36	4.33
I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	0	3	6	9	**4.67**	4.52	4.36	4.15

Course Quality

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the overall quality of the course as:	2	2	2	1	3	10	**3.10**	3.78	4.42	4.32

What do you like best about this course?

Comments
I liked having weekly modules and having the ability to view and respond to the other students taking the course.
It encouraged looking into different fields and doing your own research on topics that interest you.
Professor did the best he could teaching this course, but honestly I would have much rather it in person.
I love the case studies because I am able to connect with the personal stories more.
Professor Frank Greenagel was a very helpful and accessible online professor which made it more enjoyable.

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

Comments
Make it in person instead of online
I would have included material pertaining to the weekly modules on the exam as opposed to asking a majority of questions concerning the history and specific people and dates.
be more interactive, the discussion posts felt repetitive and was my least favorite part of the class. It felt like the other students didn't care about the material and would post just to post.
Teach it in person
This class needs to be in person and more personal. By giving us questions every week is not letting me fully connect with this topic. I want to become a social worker and this class did not make me excited for it.
I would teach it in person.
Do it in person. Not online.

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

Comments
I have definitely developed a greater understanding of social work and the impact the field and professionals in the field have on society.
I have an understanding if many methods used in social work.
The instructor tried his best with an online course. He cannot change the fact that it is online and he does what he can.
I feel like this professor is very understanding, and passionate about the field of work.

Other comments or suggestions:

| Comments |
| --- |
| The class is terrible but it is not the professor's fault. I learned next to nothing about social work in this online format which is a shame considering I feel it pushes people away from studying social work. |
| This class should be in person |
| Make the course more interactive and respond to student's comments because most do not do the minimum requirement and so of their answers do not make sense. |
| This class should be offered in person because it's an introductory class to the major. |

Questions Chosen by Department or Instructor

I would recommend this course to other students.


Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.40
Median	4.00

I would recommend this professor Frank Greenagel

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	4.40
Median	5.00

Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?

1 or less (20.00%)	
2 - 3 (10.00%)	
3 - 4 (30.00%)	
5 - 6 (20.00%)	
6 - 7 (10.00%)	
8 - 9 (10.00%)	
10 or more (0.00%)	
[Total (10)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.20
Median	3.00

How often did you use the recommended texts?

Hardly Ever (10.00%)	
Rarely (0.00%)	
Occasionally (30.00%)	
Frequently (40.00%)	
Always (20.00%)	
[Total (10)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.60
Median	4.00

The instructor Frank Greenagel was accessible to students.

1 Strongly Disagree (0.00%)	
2 Disagree (10.00%)	
3 Neutral (0.00%)	
4 Agree (30.00%)	
5 Strongly Agree (60.00%)	
[Total (10)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	4.40
Median	5.00